UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/904,968	07/13/2001	Gregory G. Germino	JHU1680-2	3795	
28213 DLA PIPER US	7590 02/14/200 S LLP	EXAMINER			
4365 EXECUT		SWITZER, JULIET CAROLINE			
SUITE 1100 SAN DIEGO, C	CA 92121-2133	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			1634		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			02/14/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/904,968	GERMINO ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

	Juliet C. Switzer	1634						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 24 January 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appelor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Coperiods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request					
 a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la 	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth	•						
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions.	f). on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount o	36(a) and the appropriat of the fee. The appropriat	e extension fee ate extension fee					
under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	than three months after the mailing dat							
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the						
3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b	out prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered be	001100					
(a) ☑ They raise new issues that would require further co	nsideration and/or search (see NOT		cause					
 (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or 	•	ducing or simplifying tl	ne issues for					
(d) ☑ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1		ected claims.						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	* **	mnliant Amendment (DTOL -324)					
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		mpilant Amendment (i	10L-324).					
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 		imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proven the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		l be entered and an e	xplanation of					
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).								
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a					
10.	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.					
 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:					
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)							
	/Juliet C Switzer/							
	Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 1634						

Continuation of 3. NOTE: (a) The amendments change the scope of the claims in ways that would require further search and consideration since the amended claims are all broader than the previous claims. For example, Claim 1 no longer requires that the primers in the set hybridize to PKD1 but no PKD1 homologs, which broadens the scope of the recitation regarding the undefined primers. While claim 1 still recites SEQ ID NO: 3, the claim has been amended to state that the amplification primer "includes at least SEQ ID NO: 3" which is a broader recitation than the previous claim which required that the primer is SEQ ID NO: 3 (closed claim language equivalent to "consisting of"). The amendment would require revisiting the allowability of the claim in view of the broader language since now the primer in the claim is not commensurate in scope with the secondary consideration. It is not clear if primers that are longer than SEQ ID NO: 3 would retain the specificity demonstrated in the specification, and since this specificity is no longer required by the claim prior art issues would have to be reconsidered. Further, the previous claim was added to include eight primer pairs, but the amended claim requires that the claimed set of primers hybridize to only one of the eight regions listed in claim 1, broadening the scope of the claim considerably. The statement about the claims being free of the art made in the final rejection referred to primers consisting of SEQ ID NO: 3, and that limitation has been removed from the claims. (b) Because of at least (a) and (c) the amendments do not simplify matters for appeal. (d) The amendment adds eight claims but cancels only five.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The remarks address the amended claims which have not been entered or considered. Therefore the arguments are moot.